

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

To: IBM FRANCE Attn. Therias, Philippe Le Plan du Bois F-06610 La Gaudonnière FRANCE		NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION	
IBM FRANCE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT 08 OCT. 2004		(PCT Rule 44.1)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference FR920030026 / CB		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 06/10/2004	
International application No. PCT/EP2004/050721		International filing date (day/month/year) 06/05/2004	
Applicant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION			

1. ☒ The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.

Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:

The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46):

When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes
 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

2. ☐ The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
3. ☐ **With regard to the protest** against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
- ☐ the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
 - ☐ no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.

4. Reminders


Shortly after the expiration of **18 months** from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.

Within **19 months** from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until **30 months** from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, **within 20 months** from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of **30 months** (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority  European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Selwa Harris
--	------------------------------------

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

1. [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
"Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
2. [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:
"Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
"Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
"Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
"Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FR920030026	FOR FURTHER ACTION <small>see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, Item 5 below.</small>	
International application No. PCT/EP2004/050721	International filing date (day/month/year) 06/05/2004	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 30/06/2003
Applicant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION		

This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This International Search Report consists of a total of 4 sheets.

☒ It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

1. Basis of the report

a. With regard to the **language**, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).

b. ☐ With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.

2. ☐ **Certain claims were found unsearchable** (See Box II).

3. ☐ **Unity of invention is lacking** (see Box III).

4. With regard to the title,

☒ the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

☐ the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:

5. With regard to the abstract,

☒ the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

☐ the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.

6. With regards to the drawings,

a. the figure of the **drawings** to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 3

☒ as suggested by the applicant.

☐ as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.

☐ as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.

b. ☐ none of the figures is to be published with the abstract.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP2004/050721

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 G06F17/30

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 7 G06F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)
EPO-Internal, WPI Data, IBM-TDB, INSPEC

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	<p>GB 2 366 037 A (IBM) 27 February 2002 (2002-02-27) abstract page 1, line 16 - page 1, line 29 page 2, line 6 - page 3, line 42 page 5, line 4 - page 5, line 30 page 6, line 5 - page 7, line 31 page 8, line 1 - page 10, line 35</p> <p style="text-align: center;">----- -/--</p>	1-9

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *Z* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

22 September 2004

Date of mailing of the international search report

06/10/2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Boyadzhiev, Y

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP2004/050721

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>REYNOLDS F ET AL: "Composite Capability/preference Profiles (CC/PP): A user side framework for content negotiation"</p> <p>W3 CONSORTIUM, 27 July 1999 (1999-07-27), XP002257669</p> <p>page 2, line 8 - page 5, line 9</p> <p>page 5, line 18 - page 5, line 27</p> <p>page 6, line 43 - page 6, line 52</p> <p>page 7, line 1 - page 7, line 7</p> <p>page 8, line 28 - page 8, line 42</p>	1-9
A	<p>W3C: "HTML 4.01 Specification"</p> <p>W3 CONSORTIUM, 'Online!'</p> <p>24 December 1999 (1999-12-24), pages 183-194, XP002297296</p> <p>Retrieved from the Internet:</p> <p>URL: http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-html40/html40.pdf.gz 'retrieved on 2004-09-21!'</p> <p>page 184, line 1 - page 185, line 40</p> <p>page 186, line 23 - page 187, line 27</p> <p>page 189, line 28 - page 191, line 1</p> <p>page 192, line 4 - page 192, line 16</p>	1-9
A	<p>MA WEI-YING ET AL: "Framework for adaptive content delivery in heterogeneous network environments"</p> <p>HEWLETT-PACKARD LABORATORIES,</p> <p>24 January 2000 (2000-01-24), pages 1-14, XP002168331</p> <p>abstract</p> <p>page 2, line 34 - page 2, line 46</p> <p>page 5, line 7 - page 5, line 43</p> <p>page 8, line 26 - page 8, line 53</p>	1-9

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP2004/050721

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
GB 2366037	A	27-02-2002 CN	1310415 A
			29-08-2001

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

<p>To:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">see form PCT/ISA/220</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 0 auto; width: 80%;"> IBM FRANCE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT 0 8 OCT. 2004 ACTION </div>	<h2 style="margin: 0;">PCT</h2> <p style="margin: 10px 0;">WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)</p>
<p>Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220</p>		<p>Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)</p>
<p>International application No. PCT/EP2004/050721</p>		<p>International filing date (day/month/year) 06.05.2004</p>
<p>Priority date (day/month/year) 30.06.2003</p>		
<p>International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G06F17/30</p>		
<p>Applicant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION</p>		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☒ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application



2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

<p>Name and mailing address of the ISA:</p> <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">  </div> <p>European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016</p>	<p>Authorized Officer</p> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">Boyadzhiev, Y</p> <p>Telephone No. +31 70 340-4548</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 10px;">  </div>
---	---

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/050721

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 - ☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material:
 - ☐ a sequence listing
 - ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material:
 - ☐ in written format
 - ☐ in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing:
 - ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
 - ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 - ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/050721

Box No. II Priority

1. ☒ The following document has not been furnished:

☒ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43*bis*.1 and 66.7(a)).

☐ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43*bis*.1 and 66.7(b)).

Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.

2. ☐ This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.

3. Additional observations, if necessary:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-9

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-9

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

- 1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:
- D1 : GB 2 366 037 A (IBM) 27 February 2002 (2002-02-27)
- D2: REYNOLDS F ET AL: "Composite Capability/preference Profiles (CC/PP): A user side framework for content negotiation" W3 CONSORTIUM, 27 July 1999 (1999-07-27), XP002257669
- D3: W3C: "HTML 4.01 Specification" W3 CONSORTIUM, [Online] 24 December 1999 (1999-12-24), pages 183-194, XP002297296 Retrieved from the Internet: URL:[http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-html40/html40 .pdf.gz](http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-html40/html40.pdf.gz) [retrieved on 2004-09-21]

I. Objections under Article 6 PCT

1. The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 1 and 6 are not clear.
2. The expression "network display possibility(ies)" used in claim 1 makes the claim unclear because the term has no well-defined meaning in the art. According to the description (p.10 lines 20-22, "The ... network preferences...") device preferences are checked for compatibility with the network preferences, therefore for the rest of the examination procedure the term "network display possibilities" is interpreted as "network preferences".

The above objection also applies to the use of the same term in claim 6.

II. Objections under Article 33(3) PCT

1. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-9 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The problem to be solved by the present invention according to the description (p.4 lines 2-8, "It is ... itself", p.7 lines 9-11, "In the ... feature." and p.7 lines 17-24, "The ... installed.") may be regarded as how to optimize the presentation of a HTML V4 page which includes CSS on a web device having a browser not supporting CSS (HTML V3.2) while using the presentation features (the CSS) of the HTML V4 page to the maximum of the display capabilities of the web device.

Document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1 and discloses :

2. A method executing on a computing system for allowing a device using a Web browser unable to support CSS, to request through a network and display Web HTML page including CSS while using all the presentation features of the included CSS that it can support through the network, (p.1 lines 3-6, "The present ... target device.", p.3 lines 1-8, "Unfortunately ... claim 1." and p.2 lines 6-9, "Once ... W3C.") said method comprising the steps of :

- receiving a request from the device for obtaining a Web HTML page; (p.5 lines 26-27, "the request ... server 46.")
- identifying the requesting device, (p.6 lines 10-13, "The style sheet ... request.")
- retrieving the requested Web page from a Web server; (p.5 lines 33-34, "The parsing ... the requested document 56 ...")
- modifying the statements of the HTML page which are not related to CSS, reflecting the CSS rule list while taking into account the device and network possibilities as stated in the Request rule list; (p.8 lines 37-41, "After ... others." and p.10 lines 6-9, "Comparing ... deleted.")
- transmitting to the device the HTML page comprising the modified statements. (p.9 lines 41-43, "After ... display.")

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known from D1 in that:

a) aggregating all the device and network display possibility information, and suppressing the contradictory information, the network display possibilities being of the highest priority, the result forming a Request rule list;
According to the description (p.11 lines 17-21, "We ... sample.") the aggregation feature gives priority to the value of a presentation attribute defined in the network preferences over the value of the same attribute defined in the device preferences, when creating the Request rule list (the list of presentation attributes).

b) aggregating all the CSS presentation definitions of the Web page while suppressing the conflicting ones in a resulting CSS rule list;
According to the description (p.12 lines 19-25, "For ... list.") this feature determines which presentation definition to apply in case of embedded and external CSS rule.

The features "a)" and "b)" are not related to the problem to be solved as stated above, therefore cannot be considered as making an inventive contribution.

Furthermore features a) and b) are disclosed in D2 and D3.

for feature a) see D2 : (p.3 lines 11-12 and lines 29-30, "CC/PP .. agents.", and "Recognize ... etc.") and (p.8 lines 30-33 and lines 38-42, "When ... rule." and "It is ... document.")

for feature b) see D3 : (p.184, lines 34-36, "Cascading ... together.") and (p.185, lines 35-36, "HTML ... sheets.") and (p.192 lines 4-10, "If ... element.").

Therefore the solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

The same reasoning applies, "mutatis mutandis", to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claims 8 and 9 which are also considered not inventive.

3. Dependent claims 2-7 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).